

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/409,566	09/30/1999	JOHN CHRISTOPHER BARROTT	AUR-014-PA	5556
7	590 08/26/2002			
KILLWORTH GOTTMAN HAGAN SCHAEFF LLP ONE DAYTON CENTRE, SUITE 500 ONE SOUTH MAIN STREET			EXAMINER	
			BARTUSKA, FRANCIS JOHN	
DAYTON, OH	454022023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/409,566	BARROTT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
1	F. J. BARTUSKA	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	ugust 2002 .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	455 O.G. 215.				
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 April 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	zu and/or 121.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
O, mornianon Disclosure Statement(s) (F10-1445) Faper 140(S)	6)					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/409,566

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The Declaration filed on Aug. 13, 2002 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the rejections based on the "Preparing for the Sweet Hereafter With Plan4ever.com" reference.
- 2. The finality of the rejection of the last Office action is withdrawn for the purpose of entering the following new rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent

Application/Control Number: 09/409,566

Art Unit: 3627

any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-6, 11, 12 and 14-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yagasaki, of record, in view of the Tafirenyika publication, cited herewith. Yagasaki discloses a computerized method for presenting, searching, selecting and maintaining information contained in a database including a presentation guide page 21, a response page 22, compiling a list of desired products at 55 and providing that list at 36. Yagasaki does not specify that the products ordered are for funeral arrangements. The Tafirenyika publication discloses that the Howard Funeral Homes have an Internet site where funeral information and services may be obtained. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the showing and teaching of the Tafirenyika publication to

Application/Control Number: 09/409,566

Art Unit: 3627

use the computer shopping system of Yagasaki to make funeral arrangements.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yagasaki in view of the Tafirenyika publication as applied to claim 1 above. Further, merely calling for the particular web pages that are displayed would involve only a notorious expedient in the art to one of ordinary skill in the web page designing art.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yagasaki in view of the Tafirenyika publication as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Tavor et al. Yagasaki, as modified by the Tafirenyika publication, shows all the features of the applicants' claimed invention except the multimedia presentations.

Tavor et al show a method of shopping over the Internet that includes multimedia presentations to make the session enjoyable for the customer, see col. 8, lines 51-53 and the Abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the showing and teaching of Tavor et al to provide the system of Yagasaki with a

Application/Control Number: 09/409,566

Art Unit: 3627

multimedia presentation to make the session more enjoyable for the customer.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yagasaki in view of the Tafirenyika publication as applied to claim 1 above. Further, merely calling for E-mail confirmation of on-line purchases would involve only a notorious expedient in the art since sites such as Amazon.com and Travelocity.com have been doing this for many years.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yagasaki in view of the Tafirenyika publication as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Slotznick, of record. Yagasaki, as modified by the Tafirenyika publication, shows all the features of the applicants' claimed invention except entering biographical information on a entry form. Slotznick shows an Internet shopping service including an entry form that includes biographical information such as: name, occasion date and address in order to send the selected products to the proper recipient at the proper address and on the appropriate date. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in

Art Unit: 3627

view of the showing and teaching of Slotznick to include a biographical information entry form among the selection screens of Yagasaki in order for the selected products to be shipped to the correct recipient at the proper address and on the appropriate date.

Response to Arguments

The applicants' remarks have been considered but have not been found persuasive in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Drawings

3. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on April 30,2002. These drawings are approved.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Devlin publication is cited for the disclosure on the last page that the Howard Funeral Home web site offers cost savings. The Hewitt publication is cited for the disclosure that the Howard Funeral Home web site displays high-quality .GIF images of coffins and other memorial hardware.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. J. BARTUSKA whose telephone number is 703-308-1111. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY (ALTERNATE FRIDAYS OFF).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI can be reached on 703-308-5183. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-3057687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

F. J. BARTUSKA

PRIMARY EXAMINER